1	
2	STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF SCHENECTADY SUPREME COURT
3	In The Matter of LUIS PENA,
4	Petitioner,
5	For a Judgment Pursuant to Article 78 of The Civil Practice Law and Rules
6	
7	
8	NEW YORK STATE GAMING COMMISSION, Respondent.
9	Schenectady County Courthouse
10	612 State Street Schenectady, NY 12305
11	November 21, 2013
12	ARTICLE 78 PROCEEDING
13	DECISION OF THE COURT
14	B E F O R E: HON. VINCENT J. REILLY, JR., SUPREME COURT JUSTICE
15	APPEARANCES: FOR THE PETITIONER:
16	MEYER, SUOZZI, ENGLISH & KLEIN, PC
17	990 Stewart Avenue Suite 300
18	P.O. Box 9194 Garden City, NY 11530-9194
19	BY: ANDREW J. TURRO, ESQ.
20	FOR THE RESPONDENT: ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
21	ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN The Capitol
22	Albany, NY 12224 BY: KEITH MUSE, ESQ.
	ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL
23	ALSO PRESENT: Tammy J. Beck, Court Clerk
24	LISA A. STADTLANDER
25	Official Senior Reporter

MATTER OF PENA/DECISION OF THE COURT

(Beginning of excerpt.)

(At the conclusion of oral arguments the follow decision was placed on the record.)

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

As I've indicated, I've reviewed completely, with the exception of the Answer, but it was alluded to in the reply papers, the affidavits both in support of the initial Article 78 proceeding that is presently before the Court and the Affidavit in Opposition and the Reply.

The Petitioner in this case commenced this special proceeding in the nature of prohibition -- seeking an order prohibiting the Respondents from taking any action or any other action in an administrative proceeding entitled Matter of Luis Pena, MO-141-2012, which proceeding by order of this Court was dismissed on Constitutional grounds.

In connection with that proceeding or in connection with this proceeding the Court -- the Petitioner sought a restraining order and injunctive relief. The Court granted the temporary restraining order and directed that the issue of the newly commenced Article 78 proceeding be argued before it today.

The administrative proceeding originally

MATTER OF PENA/DECISION OF THE COURT commenced by the Respondents was dismissed, as I said, by this Court on Constitutional grounds by a decision and order dated October 1st. 2013.

The issue has been joined in this proceeding and the Court has received all the papers, and by virtue of counsel's representation the Respondent acknowledges that the matter should be decided based on the present arguments before the Court, there being no need for any factual determination with regard to the issues raised.

The order that I issued on October 1, 2013 was intended to be a self-affecting order which was granted on Constitutional grounds and it terminated the administrative proceeding. The decision of the Court is now on appeal.

Because the Court's decision is deemed by it to be self-affecting, there is no automatic stay that would apply. Any stay that might be available to the Respondents should be sought in the Appellate Division or on a separate application before this Court, which has not been made, and which this Court would anticipatorily say it would not be willing to grant.

In this Court's opinion were the Respondent Gaming Commission to take any further action in connection with the administrative proceeding Matter of

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

1.7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MATTER OF PENA/DECISION OF THE COURT Luis Pena, MO-141-2012, it would be acting in excess of its jurisdiction and in violation of the lawful procedures established by law.

The Petitioner's application for a judgment under Article 78 is hereby granted and the Respondent is prohibited from taking any further action in the administrative proceeding identified previously, Luis Pena, MO-141-2012, because it has not been demonstrated to the Court because it has been previously been dismissed by the Court on Constitutional grounds the affirmative defenses in the nature of points of law raised in the Answer are rejected by this Court, and therefore I would direct you, Mr. Turro, on notice to Mr. Muse, to submit an order granting the relief you sought in the petition as set forth by me in this decision.

MR. TURRO: Would that be an Order and Judgment, your Honor? Because you mentioned before it was a Judgment.

> Order and Judgment. THE COURT:

MR. TURRO: Okay.

THE COURT: Same.

MR. TURRO: Okay. Thank you.

THE COURT: No cost or anything like that.

1	MATTER OF PENA/DECISION OF THE COURT
2	All right. Thanks.
3	MR. TURRO: Thank you.
4	MR. MUSE: Thank you, your Honor.
5	(Matter was conlouded at 2:20 P.M.)
6	
7	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
8	
9	I, LISA STADTLANDER, Court Reporter and
10	Notary Public in and for the State of New York, do
11	hereby certify that I recorded stenographically the
12	foregoing proceedings, taken at the time and place as
13	mentioned, and the preceding is a true and accurate
14	transcript thereof, to the best of my knowledge and
15	belief.
16	
17	LISA STADTLANDER
18	SENIOR COURT REPORTER
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	